

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/047,130	01/15/2002	Dave Van Dyne	97-460 4244		
7:	590 02/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Bruce Day			YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA		
Martin Pringle 6900 College B		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 710		1733			
Overland Park,	KS 66211	DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
· .•		10/047,13	30	DYNE, DAVE VAN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sam Chua	an C. Yao	1733	レセン			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
THE MA - Extensis after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statly received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no evo reply within the stat riod will apply and w atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered tim m the mailing date of this IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 January 2002</u> .							
/—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	n of Claims							
4a 5)	Plaim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. Plaim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Plaim(s) is/are objected to. Plaim(s) are subject to restriction and	wn from consi						
Application	n Papers							
9)□ Th	ne specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		-				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s	1							
1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ lo(s)/Mail Date	708)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		rO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/047,130

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a machinery for applying a fusible cover to an insulating material, classified in class 425, subclass 371.
 - II. Claims 7-8, drawn to a method for applying a fusible cover layer onto an insulating material, classified in class 156, subclass 308.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as laminating a pair of metallic foils onto opposing surfaces of a prepreg or laminating decorative paper layers onto a hot-melting adhesive coated fiberboard.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Bruce Day on 02-09-04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 7-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,130

Art Unit: 1733

Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is indefinite, because the recited preamble in this claim is confusing. What is being covered by a cover layer? Moreover, the phrase "said layer of insulation material" does not have a positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yokokita et al (US 6,068,715). Glass fiber mat (M) and thermoplastic films (F' and F") taught by Yokokita et al are taken to be the recited insulating material, and fusible covering layers, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,130

Art Unit: 1733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel et al (US 6,191,057 B1) in view of Yokokita et al (US 6,068,715).

Patel et al discloses a process of making an insulating article, the process comprises providing a glass-fiber insulating batt; providing a pair of co-extruded polymeric films, each film having a barrier layer and a fusible layer; disposing the pair of co-extruded films onto the opposing surfaces of the insulating core layer; heat-pressing layers together to melt the fusible layer of each film to fuse each film onto the opposing surfaces of the core layer (col. 8 line 61 to col. 9 line 50; figure 7). Patel et al does not teach using a pair of heated pressing belts to bond and fuse the polymeric films onto the opposing surfaces. However, such would have been obvious in the art, because it is conventional in the art to use a pair of heated pressing belts for heat-fusing opposing covering films onto a glass-fiber mat as exemplified in the teachings of Yokokita et al (figure 1).

Conclusion

10. Romes et al (US 5,746,854), Jones et al (US 3,955,031), and Syme et al (US 5,733,624) are cited as references of interest where a covering layer is fused onto a fibrous insulating batt.

Art Unit: 1733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Chuan C. Yao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Scy 02-12-04